

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/055,432

Applicant(s)

LABAER ET AL.

Examiner

Teresa E Strzelecka

Art Unit

1637

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Teresa E Strzelecka.

(3) _____.

(2) Ramon Tabtiang.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-6, 8-11, 63-65, 74-87, 98, 117-119

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka 5/26/04
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 7, 48-65, 72-73 and 88-134 are allowable. However, claims 98 is not further limiting claim 7, and claims 117-119 are duplicates of claims 63-65. The withdrawn claims 1-6, 8-11, 74-87 need to be cancelled. Mr. Tabtiang was contacted to discuss these issues, and agreed to the following changes to be made by Examiner's amendment: cancellation of claims 1-6, 8-11, 63, 74-87, 98, 118 and 119. .